# **EXHIBIT 1**

SUM	MONS
Attorney(s) Edward B. Geller, Esq.	
Office Address 15 Landing Way	Superior Court of
Town, State, Zip Code Bronx, New York 10464	New Jersey
	_
Telephone Number 914-473-6783	Ocean County Law Division
Attorney(s) for Plaintiff Alex Kapanadze	
	<u> </u>
Alex Kapanodze  Plaintiff(s)	
riandu(s)	CIVIT ACTION
VS.	CIVIL ACTION
J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. and Allied	SUMMONS
Interstate, LLC, Defendant(s)	!
-,	i
From The State of New Jersey To The Defendant(s) Named Abo	
to this summons states the basis for this lawsuit against you is answer or motion and proof of service with the deputy clerk of the from the date you received this summons, not counting the date you have not in the clipt of the clipt. If written answer or motion and proof of service with the Clerk of the P.O. Box 971, Trenton, NJ 08625-0971. A filing fee payable to Information Statement (available from the deputy clerk of the Su it is filed. You must also send a copy of your answer or motion to or to plaintiff, if no attorney is named above. A telephone call with answer or motion (with fee of \$175.00 and completed Case Information (with fee of \$175.00 and completed Case Information for motion (with fee of \$175.00 and completed Case Information of part of the judgment.  If you do not file and serve a written answer or motion within the relief plaintiff demands, plus interest and costs of suit—If judgmoney; wages or property to pay all or part of the judgment.  If you cannot afford an attorney, you may call the Legal Services of New Jersey Statewide, Hotling at 1-888-588111-2006.  Addirectory with contact information for local Legal Services Offic Division Management Office in the county listed above and online http://www.njcourts.gov/forms/10153_deptyclerklawref.ndf.	you received it. (A directory of the addresses of each deputy aggement Office in the county listed above and online at the complaint is one in foreclosure, then you must file your he Superior Court, Hughes Jüstice Complex, the Treasurer, State of New Jersey and a completed Case perior Court) must accompany your answer or motion when o plaintiff's attorney whose name and address appear above, il not protect your rights; you must file and serve a written nation Statement) if you want the court to hear your
· · · · ·	Clerk of the Superior Court
DATED: <u>10/01/2017</u>	,
Name of Defendant to Be Served: J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. an	d Allied Intercheta T'L C
Address of Defendant to Be Served: J.P.: 270 Park Avenue, No.	York NIV 10019
·	A le A in
•	New Albany, OH 43054
Revised 11/17/2014, CN 10792-English (Appendix XII-A)	() 10001

F::

### Appendix XII-B1

TELEPHONE NUMBER.

(914) 473-6783



ATTORNEY/PROSE NAME

Edward B. Geller, Esq.

FIRM NAME (if applicable)

# CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT (CIS)

Use for initial Law Division
Civil Part pleadings (not motions) under Rule 4:5-1
Pleading will be rejected for filing, under Rule 1:5-6(c),
If Information above the black bar is not completed
or attorney's signature is not affixed

FOR USE BY CL	erk sichhoe om y
PAYMENT TYPE:	□ck □cg □ca
CHG/CK NO.	
AMOUNT: ""	······································
OVERPAYMENT:	
BATCH NUMBER:	

Ocean County

DOCKET NUMBER (when available).

COUNTY OF VENUE

Edward B. Geller, Esq., P.C.	•					
OFFICE ADDRESS			Ì	DOCUMENT TYPE		
15 Landing Way Bronx, NewYork 10464			' ' '	COMPLAI	<u> TN</u>	
Blotts, New Lore 10404				JURY DEMAND	T YES	<b>⊠</b> No
NAME OF PARTY (e.g., John Doe,	Pjaintiff)	CAPTION				
Alex Kapanadze, Plaintiff		Älex Карапаdze v. J.P.:Мо LLC,	organ:C	chase & Co., and	f,Allied Inte	erstate,
	RRICANE SANDY	IS THIS A PROFESSIONAL MALF	PRACTIC	CE CASE?	☐ YES	■ NO
1	YES NO	IF YOU HAVE CHECKED "YES," S REGARDING YOUR OBLIGATION	SEE:N.J.	S.A. 2A:53 A •27.AN	D APPLICAE	BLE CASE LAW
RELATED CASES PENDING?		IF YES, LIST DOCKET NUMBER		EANAFFIDAVITO	MENT.	
YES	NO .	., ( <u>12)</u> ,,				
DO YOU ANTICIPATE ADDING AN (arising out of same transaction or of	IY PARTIES occurrence)?	NAME OF DEFENDANT'S PRIMA	RY INS	JRANCE COMPANY	(if known)	☐ NONE
☐ YES <b>■</b>	·					UNKNOWN-
		ON THIS FORM CANNOT B			EVIDENC	Έ.
-CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR P			TEFOR	MEDIATION		
DO PARTIES HAVE A CURRENT, RECURRENT RELATIONSHIP?		3 Cha 40 (4) 02 (m) mo 1 m -			OTHER (	oxplain)
		2 ( ) 4 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (	☐ Bus		,	
DOESTHE STATUTE GOVERNIN					⊟-Ÿ55-	- NO-
USE THIS SPACE TO ALERT THE ACCELERATED DISPOSITION	COURT TO ANY SPE	CIAL CASE CHARACTERISTICS	THAT M	AY WÁRRÁNT INDI	VIDUAL MAN	AGEMENT OR
ACOPELIA (125 DIO) CONTON						
		•				
	•					
DO YOU OR YOUR CLIENT	EED ANY. DISABILITY AC	COMMODATIONS?   IF YES, PLE	ASE IDEN	TIFY THE REQUESTED	ACCOMMODA	ATION
	No	IF YES, FOR	. i. a	NOTACES		
WILLÄN INTERPRETER BE I	NO	IF YES, FOR	(WHAI:L	MGDAGET		
I certify that confidential per	sonal Identifiers by	ave been redacted from docu	ments	now submitted to	the court	and will be
1.1903Cted Hoth at documents	submitted in the	In accordance with Ru	<i>l</i> e 1:38-	7(b).		

page 1 of 2



	CIVIL CASE INFORMATION STATEMENT	
	(CIS)	
	Use for initial pleadings (not motions) under Rule 4:5-1	
CASE TYPE	S (Choose one and enter number of case type in appropriate space on the reverse side.)	
	1 - 150 days' discovery NAME-CHANGE	
175	FORFEITURE	
399	TENANCY REAL PROPERTY (ciher than Tenancy, Contract, Condemnation, Complex Commercial or Construction)	
505	BOOK ACCOUNT (debt collection matters only) OTHER INSURANCE CLAIM (including declaratory judgment actions)	
508 510	PIP COVERAGE UM or UIM CLAIM (coverage Issues only) ACTION ON NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT	
511 512	ACTION ON NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT -LEMON LAW	
801	SUMMARY ACTION OPEN PUBLIC RECORDS ACT (summary action)	
999	OTHER (briefly describe nature of action)	
Track 305	II - 300 days' discovery  CONSTRUCTION	
509 599	EMPLOYMENT (other than CEPA or LAD) CONTRACT/COMMERCIAL TRANSACTION	
603) 803)	NAUTO NEGLIGENCE – PERSONAL INJURY (non-verbal threshold)  / AUTO NEGLIGENCE – PERSONAL INJURY (verbal threshold)	
605	PERSONAL INJURY AUTO NEGLIGENCE – PROPERTY DAMAGE	
. 621	UM or UIM CLAIM (includes bodily injury)	
"	TORT - OTHER III: - 450 days'discovery	•
005	CIVIL RIGHTS CONDEMNATION	
602	ASSAULŤ AND BATTERY .	
608	MEDICAL MALPRACTICE PRODUCT LIABILITY	
. 608	PROFESSIONAL MALPRACTICE TOXIC TORT	
616	DEFAMATION WHISTLEBLOWER / CONSCIENTIOUS EMPLOYEE PROTECTION ACT, (CEPA) CASES	
	INVERSE CONDEMNATION LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION (LAD) CASES	
Track	IVActive Case Management, by Individual:Judge://450.days:/discovery	
303	MT. LAUREL COMPLEX COMMERCIAL	
513	COMPLEX CONSTRUCTION	
820	-INSURANCE FRAUD -FAUSE GLAIMS AGT -ACTIONS IN LIEU OF PREROGATIVE WRITS	
1	ourity Litigation (Track (V)	
271	ACCUTANE/ISOTRETINOIN 292 PELVIC MESH/BARD RISPERDAL/SEROQUEL/ZYPREXA 293 DEPUY ASR HIP IMPLANT LITIGATION	
261	BRISTOL-MYERS SQUIBB ENVIRONMENTAL 295 ALLODERM REGENERATIVE TISSUE MATRIX.	
	STRYKER TRIDENT HIP IMPLANTS 297 MIRENA CONTRACEPTIVE DEVICE	
287	LEVAQUIN 299 OLMESARTAN MEDOXOMIL MEDICATIONS/BENICAR YAZIYASMIN/OCELLA 300 TALC-BASED BODY POWDERS	
290	REGLAN 601 ASBESTOS POMPTON LAKES ENVIRONMENTAL LITIGATION 823 PROPECIA	
291	PELVIC MESH/GYNECARE 824 STRYKER LFIT CoCr V40 FEMORAL HEADS	
	sellave this case requires a track other than that provided above, please indicate the reason on Side 1,	.1
1	space under "Case Characteristics.	
P	lease check off each applicable category	

Effective 06/05/2017, CN 10517 page 2 of 2 EDWARD B. GELLER, ESQ., P.C. ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF ATTORNEY I.D.: 018841986 15 LANDING WAY BRONX, NEW YORK 10464 TEL:(914)473-6783

-SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY LAW DIVISION, OCEAN COUNTY

ALEX KAPANADZE,

DOCKET No.:

Plaintiff,

-against-

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT

JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. and ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC.

Defendants.

Plaintiff, ALEX KAPANADZE ("Plaintiff"), on behalf of himself, by and through his attorneys, M. Harvey Rephen & Associates, P.C., by Edward B. Geller, Esq., P.C., Of Counsel, as and for its Complaint against the Defendants, JP MORGAN CHASE & CO, and ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant(s)"), respectfully—sets-forth—complains:and-alleges—upon-information and belief, the following:

### INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Plaintiff brings this action on his own behalf for damages and declaratory and injunctive relief arising from the Defendants' violation(s) under Title 47 of the United States Code. §227 commonly known as the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (TCPA).

2. Defendants are subject to, and required to abide by, the laws of the United States and the State of New Jersey, which include the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, 47 U.S.C. §227, et seq. ("TCPA") and its related regulations, including 47 C.F.R. §64.1200 ("TCPA Regulations"), as well as the opinions, regulations and orders issued by the Federal Communications Commission to implement and enforce the TCPA, the telemarketing regulations issued by the Federal Trade Commission, 16 C.F.R. §310.4(d)(2).

### **PARTIES**

- 3. Plaintiff ALEX KAPANADZE is a resident of the State of New Jersey, residing at 1171 Evergreen Ave, Lakewood, New Jersey 08701.
- 4. Defendant JP MORGAN CHASE & CO. has an address at 270 Park Avenue, New York.
- 5. Defendant ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC, has an office at 7252 W. CAMPUS Rd., New Albany, OH, 43054.

#### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

6. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "5" herein with the same force and effect as if the same

were set forth at length herein.

7. Prior to December 11, 2015, the Defendant J.P. MORGAN CHASE & CO. began communicating with Plaintiff by telephone calls placed by means of an auto dialer to Plaintiff's mobile telephone numbers of 848 224 5530 and 848 224 5525 and leaving prerecorded computerized messages.

- 8. On December 11, 2015 Plaintiff placed a telephone call to Defendant CHASE and spoke to a representative who identified himself as "Rob," to whom Plaintiff instructed that Defendant cease contacting him by auto dialer and that he only receive calls from a live person.
- 9. The Defendant CHASE thereafter continued to call the Plaintiff directly, placing approximately sixty (60) calls with an auto dialer.
- 10. Thereafter the Defendant CHASE assigned to Defendant ALLIED INTERSTATE, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "ALLIED"), the responsibility of collecting an alleged debt on its behalf.
- 11. The Defendant ALLIED thereafter implemented an autodialer to contact the Plaintiff without permission. The Defendant CHASE was and is aware that their agent ALLIED uses an autodialer to contact their customers.
- 12. Thereafter, the Defendant ALLIED called the Plaintiff with an autodialer at least five hundred (500) times.

## FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Violations of the TCPA)

- 13. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "12" herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 14. According to the Telephone Consumer Protection Act 47 USC \$227(b)(A)(iii), "It shall be unlawful for any person within the United States, or any person outside the United States if the recipient is within the United States (A) to make any call (other than a call made for emergency purposes or made with the prior consent of the called party) using any automatic telephone dialing system or an artificial or pre-

recorded voice— (iii) to any telephone number assigned to a paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile radio service, or other radio common carrier service, or any service for which the called party is charged for the call, unless such call is made solely to collect a debt owed to or guaranteed by the United States."

It has been determined that the statute language adding "or" any service for which the called party is charged, is not accurately interpreted to require that Plaintiff must claim that Defendant's calls incurred charges. See <u>Breslow c. Wells Farqo Bank</u>, <u>N.A.</u> 857 F. Supp 2d 1316, 1319 (S.D. Fia. 2012) and <u>Cavero v. Franklin Collection</u> <u>Serv., Inc.</u>, 2012 WL 279448 (S.D. Fia. 2012). A number of Courts have addressed the issue of a Plaintiff being charged with all agreeing that the TCPA does not require a Plaintiff who received calls on his/her *cell phone* to allege that he/she was charged for the call. For example, in <u>Manno v.Healthcare Revenue Recovery Grp., LLC</u>, 289 F.R.D. 674 (S.D. Fia. 2013), the Court held that "The TCPA does not require the plaintiff to be 'charged for' the calls in order to have standing to sue." In <u>Osorio v. State-Farm Bank, F.S.B.</u>, 746 F.3d 1242 (11th Cir. 2014), the Court stated: If the phrase 'any service for which the called party is charged for the call-requires that the party-be—charged per call for the 'paging service, cellular telephone service, specialized mobile tradio:service—exother adior common call the service—incoder-for-the party to prohibit

autodialed calls, then the listing of these services would be superfluous because they are already included under them 'any service for which the called party is charged.' On the other hand, reading 'any service for which the called party is charged for the call' as an additional item beyond any call to a 'paging service, cellular telephone service,

specialized mobile radio service, or other common carrier service,' regardless of whether the called party is charged, gives independent meaning to each term."

It is thus clear from the plain language of the TCPA, and its considerable body of resultant case law that the TCPA is violated when a cellular telephone is called with an automatic dialer without consent, even if no charges are alleged or incurred.

- 15. In Ossola v. American Express Company, No. 1:2013cv04836 Document 331 (N.D. III. 2015) the District Judge in Illinois held that, "the primary creditor may be held directly liable under the TCPA... whether American Express itself actually placed the calls at issue is irrelevant." In that particular case American express placed an account with a debt collector. West Asset Management, to collect on an alleged debt, exactly as in the present case.
- 16. The 9th Circuit in <u>Gomez v. Campbell-Ewald</u> spoke on the issue of vicarious liability. In <u>Gomez</u>, Plaintiff alleged that Defendant and marketer Campbell-Ewald instructed or allowed a third party vendor to send unsolicited text messages on behalf of the U.S. Navy, and that the Plaintiff was contacted without consent. The 9th <u>Circuit held the Defendant vicariously liable for TCPA violations of another where the Plaintiff established an agency relationship, as defined by federal common law,</u>

between the Detendant and a third-party. The Gourt agreed with the ECC that federal common law of vicarious liability applies to TCPA section 227(b) and 227(c) claims.

17. Defendant JP MORGAN & CO. is vicariously liable for the direct illegal calls of itself and for the illegal automated calls of Defendant Allied Interstate, LLC. Defendant Allied Interstate, LLC is directly liable for its unauthorized and illegal calls to the Plaintiff.

18. As a result of Defendants' violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to damages in accordance with the TCPA in the amount of \$1500 per call for a total of \$750,000.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment from the Defendants as follows:

- A. For actual damages provided and pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section
- B. For trebled damages to be awarded to the Plaintiff in accordance with the TCPA, for each of the Defendant's willful or knowing violations of the TCPA.
  - C. For statutory damages provided and pursuant to 47 USC Section 227;
  - D. A declaration that the Defendant's practices violated the TCPA;
- E. For any such other and further relief, as well as further costs, expenses and disbursements of this action, as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated:

227;

September 28, 2016

Respectfully submitted

EDWARD B. GELLER, ESQ.

EDWARD B. GELLER, ESQ., P.C., OF COUNSEL TO

M. HARVEY REPHEN & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

15 LANDING WAY

BRONX, NEW YORK 10464

TEL:(914)473-6783

Attorney for the Plaintiff ALEX KAPANADZE

Money of 13